

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/776,770	Applicant(s) GOLDBERG ET AL.
	Examiner Jehanne Souaya Sitton	Art Unit 1634

All Participants:(1) Jehanne Souaya Sitton.**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Tuscan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-27


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Sitton indicated that a terminal disclaimer was needed in the instant application with regard to a related US Patent: 6,203,989. Further, the examiner indicated that claims 28-35 were withdrawn but not canceled. Applicant's representative indicated that a TD would be filed and authorized the cancelation of claim 28-35.